

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/656,330	09/06/2000	Kenneth Alan Fischburg	3594-04	9086		
7	7590 10/17/2003	EXAMINER				
McCormick Paulding & Huber LLP			THOMPSON JR, FOREST			
CityPlace II 185 Asylum Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Hartford, CT 06103-4102			3625			
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	,	09/656,330		FISCHBURG, KENNETH ALA	
•:	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Forest Thompso		3625	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, hower within the statutory min will apply and will expire to cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tin imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	<u> August 2003</u> .			
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.		
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims				e merits is
•	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	١.			
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ation.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		_		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 September 2000</u> is/a		-	•	er.
44	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-		
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , , , ,		oved by the Examin	ar.
12\□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		uon.		
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ammer.			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	a priority under 35	: II S C & 110/a) (d) or (f)	
· -	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r priority under 30	0.5.0. g 119(a)-(u) or (i).	
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			on No	
* /	Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	rity documents ha reau (PCT Rule 1	ave been receive	ed in this National	Stage
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list				l annliaatiaa'
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti a) The translation of the foreign language pro	-			аррисацоп).
	a)	. ,			
Attachmer	•	, ,	33		
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/656,330

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action (See Paper #2). The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not otherwise provided in a prior Office action will be included in this action where appropriate.
- 2. This action is responsive to amendment A filed on 08/07/2003 (see Paper #3). Applicant amended claim 1. Claims 1-21 are pending.
- Claims 1-21 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mikurak (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,744).

Claim 19: Mikurak discloses:

Application/Control Number: 09/656,330

Art Unit: 3625

- establishing a connection between a processor of at least one of the client systems, and one of the servers (Abstract; col. 274 lines 66-67);
- accessing a particular one of the web sites causing the server to download to the connected processor of the client system a web page, from which a user can order a plurality of different goods, the web page being viewable on the display by a user (fig. 53 [5318]; col. 173 lines 29-35; col. 274 line 65 col. 275 line 26);
- customizing an order form, operative with the web site, to a particular procurement process of the user by inventorying a facility of the user, auditing the user's procurement records and querying the user's personnel involved with the procurement process to determine the user's procurement process (col. 131 lines 29-55);
- using the input means to enter an identification protocol unique to the user, thereby causing the order form to be downloaded from the web site to the connected processor, and be viewable on the display (col. 131 lines 29-55; col. 131);
- using the input means to electronically fill in the customized order form; identifying goods to be purchased (col. 229 line 58 col. 230 line 11); and
- causing the processor of the connected client system to submit the electronically filled in order form to the server (col. 229 line 58 col. 230 line 11).

Claim 21: Mikurak discloses:

- inputting data indicative of the procurement process of the user into a content database (col. 2 lines 41-51);
- developing a flow chart of the steps involved in the user's procurement process
 (col. 15 lines 51-64);

Application/Control Number: 09/656,330

Art Unit: 3625

- This process allows users of WAF to recast existing control information and/or add new control information as necessary (including the elimination of no longer required elements) (col. 169 line 67 – col. 170 line 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 1, 5-9, 15-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Escallon (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,157), and further in view of Mikurak (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,744).

Claims 1, 15: Escallon discloses:

- storing an electronic order form in the memory, the order form being unique to the user, and operative with the computer program and the content database, such that the order form lists goods that meet the requirements of the specific procurement process of the user (Abstract; col. 3 lines 21-27; col. 4 lines 15-59);
- displaying the electronic order form as a web page on the web site in response to the identification signals identifying the user, and receiving order signals from the user through the communications device indicative of filling in an order on the order form (Abstract; col. 3 lines 21-27; col. 4 lines 15-59); and
- processing the order (Abstract; col. 4 lines 15-59).

Escallon does not explicitly disclose determining the user's specific procurement process; inputting data indicative of the procurement process of the user into a content database; hosting a web site operative with the computer program and the content

Application/Control Number: 09/656,330

Art Unit: 3625

database, the web site being accessible via the internet through a communications device; eliminating unrequired steps in the procurement process revealed in the flow chart; accessing the content database through the web site; nor using a security protocol for identifying the user in response to the user accessing the web site by providing identification signals thereto unique to the user, the identification signals cooperating with the security protocol. However, Mikurak discloses:

- determining the user's specific procurement process (col. 2 lines 41-51; col. 15 lines 51-64);
- inputting data indicative of the procurement process of the user into a content database (col. 2 lines 41-51);
- developing a flow chart of the steps involved in the user's procurement process (col. 15 lines 51-64);
- This process allows users of WAF to recast existing control information and/or add new control information as necessary (including the elimination of no longer required elements) (col. 169 line 67 col. 170 line 3)
- hosting a web site operative with the computer program and the content database, the web site being accessible via the internet through a communications device (col. 31 lines 21-30; col. 112 lines 47-65);
- accessing the content database through the web site (col. 31 lines 21-30);
- using the input means to enter an identification protocol unique to the user, thereby causing the order form to be downloaded from the web site to the connected processor, and be viewable on the display (col. 131 lines 29-55; col. 131);

Application/Control Number: 09/656,330 Page 6

Art Unit: 3625

- displaying the electronic order form unique to the user as a web page on the web site in response to the identification signals identifying the user (col. 131 lines 29-55; col. 131),

- using the input means to electronically fill in the customized order form, identifying goods to be purchased (col. 229 line 58 col. 230 line 11); and
- listing a portion of the goods contained in the content database and indicative of the procurement process on the order form (col. 91 lines 17-43; col. 230 lines 4-11);
- using a security protocol for identifying the user in response to the user accessing the web site by providing identification signals thereto unique to the user, the identification signals cooperating with the security protocol (col. 31 lines 10-20).

Therefore, it would have obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of Escallon to explicitly host a web site operative with the computer program and the content database, the web site being accessible via the internet through a communications device, developing a flow chart of the steps involved in the user's procurement process, eliminating unrequired steps in the procurement process revealed in the flow chart, access the content database through the web site, list a portion of the goods contained in the content database and indicative of the procurement process on the order form, and use a security protocol for identifying the user in response to the user accessing the web site by providing identification signals thereto unique to the user, the identification signals cooperating with the security protocol, as disclosed by Mikurak, for the motivation of ordering goods in a processor based system.

Art Unit: 3625

Claim 5: Escallon discloses developing a flow chart of the steps involved in the user's procurement process (Fig. 2; Fig. 3).

Claim 6: Escallon does not specifically disclose eliminating unrequired steps in the procurement process revealed in the flow chart. However, Mikurak discloses *This process allows users of WAF to recast existing control information and/or add new control information as necessary (including the elimination of no longer required elements)* (col. 169 line 67 – col. 170 line 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of Escallon to explicitly disclose eliminating unrequired steps in the procurement process, as disclosed by Mikurak, for the motivation of ordering goods in a processor based system.

Claims 7, 16: Escallon discloses displaying a web page of the web site indicative of specifications and information of goods accessed from the database (col. 4 lines 15-43). Claims 8, 17: Escallon discloses displaying a web page of the web site indicative of information on new goods accessed from the database based on a new goods search conducted by the user (col. 4 lines 15-20).

Claim 9: Escallon discloses the user modifying the order form (col. 4 lines 15-43).

Claim 20: Escallon discloses developing a flow chart of the steps involved in the user's procurement process (Fig. 2; Fig. 3). Escallon does not specifically disclose

Art Unit: 3625

eliminating unrequired steps in the procurement process revealed in the flow chart. However, Mikurak discloses *This process allows users of WAF to recast existing control information and/or add new control information as necessary (including the elimination of no longer required elements)* (col. 169 line 67 – col. 170 line 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of Escallon to explicitly disclose eliminating unrequired steps in the procurement process, as disclosed by Mikurak, for the motivation of ordering goods in a processor based system.

Page 8

- 7. Claims 2-4, 10-11, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Escallon (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,157), and further in view of Mikurak (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,744) and Official Notice.
- Claims 2, 3, 4: Escallon does not specifically disclose determining further includes inventorying a facility of the user, auditing the user's procurement records, nor querying the user's personnel involved with the procurement process. However, Escallon does disclose:
- the invention novelly provides a system for creating a system for the presentation of information in a relational environment to front end client computers, for selectively viewing, accessing and manipulating such data, and selectively formulating transaction requests based upon such data for transmission to the transaction management system 200 for execution (col. 4 lines 45-51); and

Application/Control Number: 09/656,330 Page 9

Art Unit: 3625

- the use of databases to hold data that is used in the interactive electronic systems to present information and execute transactions (col. 6 lines 3-30).

Additionally, Mikurak discloses (col. 229 lines 16-42):

This technique filters the information or content displayed to the user based on what is entered by, or known about the user. Many personalized sites use some form of content filtering. A variety of different filtering techniques have emerged. Some are adaptations of traditional client/server techniques adapted to the Web. In the first grouping of techniques presented here, the user controls how the information is filtered.

- User-controlled, explicit content filtering. Techniques in this category enable the user to filter data or content.
- Personalized Information. Allows the user to see information specific to them.

 The content in this case may be information about the user's profile, about products they have or a past order history. This technique allows the user to filter the data by building `where clause` statement or execute `canned` queries. It is often used when the user is familiar with the data and wishes to organize or filter it in multiple ways.
- User-controlled, implicit content filtering.
- Collaborative filtering. Collaborative filtering determines clusters of users with similar interests, either by asking users explicitly or by observing user selections and actions to determine those interests implicitly. This is an effective technique for creating recommendations for products.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,330 Page 10

Art Unit: 3625

Additionally, Official Notice is taken that the operation of an on-line service provider that provides procurement/sales services to users includes the requirement that the user's capabilities to deliver orders and means for accessing, ordering and satisfying the demands of users, as required by each user, must be identified. The success or failure of the on-line service provider is greatly influenced by such capabilities. Users use procurement/sales services that satisfy their business requirements and promote their profitability. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of Escallon to explicitly inventory a facility of the user, audit the user's procurement records, and query the user's personnel involved with the procurement process, as disclosed Mikurak and by old and well known art, for the motivation of ordering goods in a processor based system.

Claims 10, 11, 18: Escallon does not specifically disclose subjecting the order to review by a supervisor, nor the supervisor is one of a personnel of the user and a personnel of a provider of the web site. However, Official Notice is taken that supervisors often require that orders be presented to them by employees of a company or service provider for review and approval before being sent out of the organization. This is an aspect of companies or service providers attempting to control budgetary expenditures and/or to achieve economy of action. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of Escallon to explicitly subject the order to review by a supervisor and the

Application/Control Number: 09/656,330

Art Unit: 3625

supervisor is one of a personnel of the user and a personnel of a provider of the web site, as disclosed by old and well known art, for the motivation of ordering goods in a processor based system.

- 8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Escallon (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,157), and further in view of Mikurak (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,744), Blinn et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,897,622), and Official Notice.
- Claim 12. Escallon does not explicitly disclose displaying a confirmation message, including a confirmation number. Blinn et al. discloses displaying a confirmation message (col. 8 lines 9- Claim 11; col. 9 lines 24-29). Blinn et al. does not explicitly disclose including a confirmation number. However, Official Notice is taken that the use of confirmation numbers was old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made. This provided a simple way for an on-line service provider to provide an identification for reference to the user and his order. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of Escallon to explicitly display a confirmation message, including a confirmation number, as disclosed by Blinn et al. and old and well known art, for the motivation of ordering goods in a processor based system.

Art Unit: 3625

9. Claims 13 and 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Escallon (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,157), and further in view of Mikurak (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,744), Blinn et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,125,352).

Page 12

Escallon does not explicitly disclose at least one of side by side Claim 13. comparisons of a plurality of goods, good prices, features of goods, benefits of goods, applications of goods, information on competitive goods, goods lead times, material safety data sheets of goods, pictures of goods and current procurement data. However, Franklin et al. discloses at least one of side by side comparisons of a plurality of goods, good prices, features of goods, benefits of goods, applications of goods, information on competitive goods, goods lead times, material safety data sheets of goods, pictures of goods and current procurement data (col. 2 line 54 – col. 3 line22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of Escallon to explicitly disclose at least one of side by side comparisons of a plurality of goods, good prices, features of goods, benefits of goods, applications of goods, information on competitive goods, goods lead times, material safety data sheets of goods, pictures of goods and current procurement data, as disclosed by Franklin et al. and old and well known art, for the motivation of ordering goods in a processor based system.

Claim 14. Escallon does not explicitly disclose the current procurement data further comprises one of a history of ordering and usage, and an accounts receivable

Art Unit: 3625

summary. However, Franklin et al. discloses the current procurement data further comprises one of a history of ordering and usage, and an accounts receivable summary (col. 14 lines 11-13; col. 21 lines 44-56). Additionally, Mikurak discloses *The content in this case may be information about the user's profile, about products they have or a past order history* (col. 229 lines 29-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of Escallon to explicitly disclose the current procurement data further comprises one of a history of ordering and usage, and an accounts receivable summary, as disclosed by the combination of Franklin et al. and Mikurak, for the motivation of ordering goods in a processor based system.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Forest Thompson Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5449. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on (703) 308-1344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

FT (/ (10/08/2003

Jeffrey A. Smith